

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/032,378	10/26/2001	Michael D. Hooven	HOOV 112	6155
7590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER		
Cook, Alex, McFarron, Manzo,			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
Cummings & Mehler, Ltd. Suite 2850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 West Adams Street			3739	
Chicago, IL 6	0606		DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			c
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/032,378	HOOVEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rosiland S Rollins	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	imely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/1	6/04 .		
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
<ul><li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li><li>Application Papers</li></ul>	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	tion No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price</li><li>application from the International But</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application)	
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prediction</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	• •		
Attachment(s)			

1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

5) 🔲

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 13, 14, 17 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi et al. (US 6273887) further in view of Raymond Chia et al. (US 6241726) Yamauchi et al. disclose a device for clamping cardiac tissue comprising a first handle member, a second handle member, first and second mating jaws, a first elongate ablation electrode and a second elongate electrode as illustrated in figure 74b. Yamauchi et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except an EKG sensor. Raymond Chia et al. disclose a similar device and teach that it is old and well known in the art to provide a EKG sensor on the working end of the device to monitor the condition of the tissue before and after it is treated. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an EKG sensor on the elongate electrode as claimed to monitor the condition of the tissue before and after it is treated.

Claims 11, 12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi et al. and Raymond Chia et al. further in view of Imran Art Unit: 3739

'860. Yamauchi et al. and Raymond Chia et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the electrodes comprising gold plated cooper and the length and width of the electrodes. Imran discloses an ablation device and teaches that it is old and well known in the art to use gold plated copper as an electrode material for the Yamauchi et al. device, since it has been held to within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice, particularly in view of the teaching of Imran.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the electrode according to the dimensions as claimed, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are discloses in the prior art, discovering the optimum dimensions involves only routine skill in the art.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-12 and 14-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Rollins whose telephone number is 703/3082711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 703/3080994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

Page 4

RR